

Advocating, advancing, and evaluating quality education in Landscape Architecture

Board Members

August 12, 2022

Educators

Lynn Ewanow LAAB Chair Kansas State University

Weimin Li, Ph.D., ASLA California State Polytechnic University

Daniel H. Ortega, ASLA University of Nevada Las Vegas

Practitioners

Erin Degutis, ASLA, RLA Duke Energy

Dale Jaeger, FASLA WLA Studio

Juanita Shearer-Swink, FASLA, PLA LAAB Chair-Elect

Public Representatives

Gilbert Holmes University of LaVerne College of Law

Derrek Niec-Williams Howard University

Patty Reece The Volland Store

ASLA Representative Kona Gray, FASLA EDSA

CELA Representative

Roxi Thoren., ASLA LAAB Secretary Pennsylvania State University

<u>CLARB Representative</u> Christine Anderson, ASLA, PLA

Mark Thomas

<u>Director</u> Kristopher Pritchard Dominic L. Fischer, ASLA, PLA Chair and Assistant Professor Department of Landscape Architecture North Dakota State University Fargo, North Dakota 58108

Dear Professor Fischer:

The Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board (LAAB) at its July 7-8, 2022, meeting granted accreditation for a period of six (6) years to the course of study leading to the professional MLA degree at North Dakota State University. This status is subject to review of an interim report to be submitted by June 1, 2024, together with annual reports and maintenance of good standing.

The interim report should provide an update, with documentation demonstrating compliance when necessary, on each Recommendation Affecting Accreditation (RAA) in order to demonstrate compliance, or steps towards compliance, with the respective standard. In accordance with LAAB policy, programs have up to two years to resolve their RAA(s). Upon receipt of the two-year Interim Report, the LAAB will accept that the RAA(s) have been addressed or, if not, the program will be given two more years to resolve the issues. A second Interim Report will be due to the LAAB on or before four years from the receipt of this Accreditation Action Letter. If the RAA(s) are not successfully resolved or, in the case of longer term issues, substantial and verifiable progress has not been made at that time (after four years from this Accreditation Action Letter) then the program may be moved to provisional status, it may be suspended, or in some cases the program's accreditation may be revoked.

Accreditation is awarded on a time-certain basis. The six-year period of accreditation ends June 30, 2028. Accordingly, the MLA program at North Dakota State University is next scheduled for a review during the spring of 2028.

In making its decision, LAAB considered the program's self-evaluation report, the visiting team's report, and the program's response to the report.

Enclosed is a list of recommendations affecting accreditation (to be responded to in the interim report via the process laid out above) and an Interim Reporting template. This list was developed by LAAB from the materials reviewed during the meeting.

North Dakota State University MLA Accreditation Action Letter August 12, 2022 Page 2 of 2

On behalf of the visiting team, I would like to thank you for the hospitality extended to them by the faculty, staff, and students.

Sincerely,

hym m. ewanow

Lynn Ewanow LAAB Chair

Enclosure

cc: Dr. David Cook, President

North Dakota State University MLA Program LAAB Meeting July 7-8, 2022

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations Affecting Accreditation

- 1. Complete the long-range plan in conjunction with the other planning activities of the school, college, and university (Standard 1).
- 2. Increase full-time faculty resources to accomplish the professional program's goals and objectives, including engaging in research, creative activity, and scholarship. Given that the program is at the graduate level and situated in an R1 institution, the lack of faculty resources may significantly compromise the ability of the faculty to conduct the level of research and creative activity that is necessary to support the mission, goals, and objectives of the institution (Standard 2).

Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board

Interim Report
Submitted By:

Submission Date:

α		4
\	ction	
S	CUUII	1.

Name of Institution:

Name of Department:

Name of Program:

Date of Decision Letter:

Section 2.

Recommendation Affecting Accreditation: (Copy/paste verbatim the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in the Decision Letter that is the subject of this Report and attach the Decision Letter. If Decision Letter identified more than one (1) Recommendation Affecting Accreditation, complete an Interim Report for each Recommendation.)

Section 3.

Action Taken by Program: (Describe the action taken by the Program to address the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in Section 2 of this Report. Attach any appropriate documentation that supports the action taken by the Program)

Section 4.

Prior Action Taken by Program: (If applicable, attach any prior Interim Report related to the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation described in Section 2 of this Report)