



*Advocating, advancing, and evaluating quality education in Landscape Architecture*

---

Board Members

March 25, 2025

Educators

Weimin Li, Ph.D., ASLA  
LAAB Chair-Elect  
California State Polytechnic University

Daniel H. Ortega, ASLA  
University of Nevada Las Vegas

Allan Shearer, Ph.D., FASLA  
University of Texas at Austin

Practitioners

Mark Hough, FASLA  
Duke University

Susan Jacobson, FASLA, PLA  
The Morton Arboretum

Juanita Shearer-Swink, FASLA, PLA

Public Representatives

Derek Niec-Williams  
LAAB Secretary  
Howard University

Chelina Odbert, Hon. ASLA  
Kounkuey Design Initiative

David N. Yellen  
University of Miami School of Law

ASLA Representative

William Estes, ASLA, PLA, LEED AP  
MIG

CELA Representative

Roxi Thoren, ASLA, FCELA  
LAAB Chair  
Pennsylvania State University

CLARB Representative

Christine Anderson, ASLA, PLA  
Mark Thomas

Director

Kristopher Pritchard, Hon. ASLA

Hala Nassar, Ph.D., FCELA  
Director of Landscape Architecture and Graduate Programs  
Professor, Landscape Architecture Program  
Landscape Architecture Program  
School of Architecture  
Clemson University  
Clemson, SC 29634-0512

Dear Professor Nassar,

The Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board (LAAB) at its February 26, 2025, meeting granted accreditation for a period of six (6) years to the course of study leading to the professional BLA degree at Clemson University. This status is subject to review of an interim report to be submitted by December 1, 2026, together with annual reports and maintenance of good standing.

The interim report should provide an update, with documentation demonstrating compliance, when necessary, on each Recommendation Affecting Accreditation (RAA) from this Final Action Letter in order to demonstrate compliance, or steps towards compliance, with the respective standard. In accordance with LAAB policy, programs have up to two years to resolve their RAA(s). Upon receipt of the two-year Interim Report, the LAAB will accept that the RAA(s) have been addressed or, if not, the program will be given two more years to resolve the issues. A second Interim Report will be due to the LAAB on or before four years from the date of this Final Action Letter. If the RAA(s) are not successfully resolved or, in the case of longer-term issues, substantial and verifiable progress has not been made at that time (after four years from this Final Action Letter) then the program may be moved to provisional status, it may be suspended, or in some cases the program's accreditation may be revoked.

Accreditation is awarded on a time-certain basis. The six-year period of accreditation ends December 31, 2030. Accordingly, the BLA program at Clemson University is next scheduled for a review during the fall of 2030.

In making its decision, LAAB considered the program's self-evaluation report, the visiting team's report, and the program's response to the report. After review of all of this information, LAAB removed the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation relative to Standard 7 based on the program's response.

Enclosed is a list of Recommendations Affecting Accreditation (to be responded to in the interim report via the process laid out above) and an Interim Report template. This list was developed by LAAB from the materials reviewed during the meeting.

On behalf of the visiting team, I would like to thank you for the hospitality extended to them by the faculty, staff, and students.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Roxi J. Thoren". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Roxi Thoren, ASLA, FCELA  
LAAB Chair

Enclosure

cc: James P. "Jim" Clements, Ph.D., President

**Clemson University  
BLA Program  
LAAB Meeting  
February 26, 2025**

**SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Recommendations Affecting Accreditation**

1. Update the public disclosure statement reflecting LAAB requirements including estimated costs for studio/lab fees and the study abroad program (Standard 1).
2. Clarify and communicate the autonomy of the Landscape Architecture Program in operational mechanisms within the School of Architecture and the Program Director as its leader to ensure that the Program Director has “the authority to significantly influence the management of resources, including budget, faculty review, tenure and promotion outcomes and the direction of the professional program” (Standard 2).

## **Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board**

### **Interim Report**

**Submitted By:**

**Submission Date:**

#### **Section 1.**

**Name of Institution:**

**Name of Department:**

**Name of Program:**

**Date of Decision Letter:**

---

#### **Section 2.**

**Recommendation Affecting Accreditation:** (Copy/paste verbatim the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in the Decision Letter that is the subject of this Report and attach the Decision Letter. If the Decision Letter identified more than one (1) Recommendation Affecting Accreditation, complete an Interim Report for each Recommendation.)

---

#### **Section 3.**

**Action Taken by Program:** (Describe the action taken by the Program to address the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in Section 2 of this Report. Attach any appropriate documentation that supports the action taken by the Program)

---

#### **Section 4.**

**Prior Action Taken by Program:** (If applicable, attach any prior Interim Report related to the Recommendations Affecting Accreditation described in Section 2 of this Report)